

# ON VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES WITH MULTIVALUED OPERATORS WITH SEMI-BOUNDED VARIATION

O. V. SOLONOUKHA

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF UKRAINE "KPI", KIEV, UKRAINE

In this paper we explore some problems for the steady-state variational inequalities with multivalued operators (VIMO). As far as we know, in this variant the term VIMO had been first introduced in [1]. The results of this paper with respect to VIMO extend and/or improve analogous ones from [1-7]. We refused the regularity conditions of the monotonic disturbance of the multivalued mapping ([1]) and some other properties of the objects ([2]). Besides we considered a wider class of operators with respect to [3,4]. We are studying the connections between the class of radially semi-continuous operators with semi-bounded variation, the class of pseudo-monotone mappings, which is used earlier (for example, in [2]) on selector's language, and the class of monotone mappings. Moreover, for new class of operators the property of local boundedness is substituted for a weaker one with respect to [1,2,5,7,8]. Also we refuse the condition that  $A(y)$  is a convex closet set owing to the forms of support functions.

Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $X^*$  be its topological dual space, by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  we denote the dual pairing on  $X \times X^*$ ,  $2^{X^*}$  be the totality of all nonempty subsets of the space  $X^*$ ,  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a multivalued mapping with  $\text{Dom}A = \{y \in X : A(y) \neq \emptyset\}$ .  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is called *strong* iff  $\text{Dom}A = X$ . Further for simplicity we will consider only strong mappings  $A$ . Let us consider the upper and lower support functions which are associated to  $A$ :

$$[A(y), \xi]_+ = \sup_{d \in A(y)} \langle d, \xi \rangle, \quad [A(y), \xi]_- = \inf_{d \in A(y)} \langle d, \xi \rangle,$$

and norms:  $\|Ay\|_+ = \sup_{d \in A(y)} \|d\|_{X^*}, \quad \|Ay\|_- = \inf_{d \in A(y)} \|d\|_{X^*}$ .

We will consider the following VIMO

$$[A(y), \xi - y]_+ + \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(y) \geq \langle f, \xi - y \rangle \quad \forall \xi \in \text{dom } \varphi \cap K, \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is a fixed element from  $X^*$ ,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is a proper convex lower semi-continuous function,  $\text{dom } \varphi = \{y \in X : \varphi(y) < \infty\}$ ,  $K$  is a convex weakly closed set.

**Definition.**  $L : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is called *lower semi-continuous*, if the following is satisfied: if  $X \ni y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $X$  then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(y_n) \geq L(y)$ .

**Definition[1].** Operator  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is called

---

This work was supported, in part, by the International Soros Science Education Program (ISSEP) through grant PSU061103.

a) *radially semi-continuous*, if for each  $y, \xi, h \in X$  the following inequality holds:

$$\varliminf_{t \rightarrow +0} [A(y + t\xi), h]_+ \geq [A(y), h]_-;$$

b) *operator with semi-bounded variation*, if for each  $R > 0$  and arbitrary  $y_1, y_2 \in X$  such that  $\|y_i\|_X \leq R$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) the following inequality holds:

$$[A(y_1), y_1 - y_2]_- \geq [A(y_2), y_1 - y_2]_+ - C(R; \|y_1 - y_2\|'_X),$$

where  $C : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $\tau^{-1}C(r_1, \tau r_2) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tau \downarrow 0$  for each  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ ,  $\|\cdot\|'_X$  is a compact norm with respect to the initial norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ ;

c) *coercive operator*, if  $\exists y_0 \in K$  such that

$$\|y\|_X^{-1} [A(y), y - y_0]_- \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \|y\|_X \rightarrow \infty;$$

d) *locally bounded on  $X$* , if for each  $y \in X$  there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that  $\|A(\xi)\|_+ \leq M$  for each  $\xi$  such that  $\|\xi - y\|_X \leq \varepsilon$ ;

e) *monotone*, if for each  $y_1, y_2 \in X$  the following inequality holds:

$$[A(y_1), y_1 - y_2]_- \geq [A(y_2), y_1 - y_2]_+.$$

**Definition[2].** Operator  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is called *pseudo-monotone*, if

i) the set  $A(y)$  is nonempty, bounded, closed and convex at each  $y \in X$ ;

ii)  $A : F \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is locally bounded on each finite-dimensional subspace  $F \subset X$ ;

iii) if  $y_j \rightarrow y$  weakly in  $X$ ,  $w_j \in A(y_j)$  and  $\varlimsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle w_j, y_j - y \rangle \leq 0$ , then for each element  $v \in X$  there exists  $w(v) \in A(y)$  with the property

$$\varliminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle w_j, y_j - v \rangle \geq \langle w(v), y - v \rangle.$$

**Definition[2].** Operator  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is called *generalized pseudo-monotone*, if from  $y_j \rightarrow y$  weakly in  $X$ ,  $A(y_j) \ni w_j \rightarrow w$   $\ast$ -weakly in  $X^*$  and  $\varlimsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle w_j, y_j - y \rangle \leq 0$

it follows that  $w \in A(y)$  and  $\langle w_j, y_j \rangle \rightarrow \langle w, y \rangle$ .

Each pseudo-monotone operator is generalized pseudo-monotone one ([2]).

It is easy to see that each monotone operator is an operator with semi-bounded variation, the next result is connecting the classes of operators with semi-bounded variation and of pseudo-monotone operators. Simultaneously, we showed the interconnection between monotone and pseudo-monotone operators.

**Definition.** Operator  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is called *sequentially weakly locally bounded*, if for each  $y \in X$  if  $y_n \rightarrow y$  weakly in  $X$  then there exist a finite number  $N$  and a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\|A(y_n)\|_+ \leq M$  for each  $n \geq N$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A$  be a radially semi-continuous operator with semi-bounded variation. Then  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  is pseudo-monotone, locally bounded and sequentially weakly locally bounded.

*Remark.* It is enough to consider the weaker condition of the radially semi-continuity:

$$\varliminf_{t \rightarrow +0} [A(y + t\xi), -\xi]_+ \geq [A(y), -\xi]_-.$$

Let us consider solvability theorems.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $K$  be a bounded convex weakly closed set and  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a radially semi-continuous operator with semi-bounded variation. Then for each  $f \in X^*$  the solution set of the inequality

$$[A(y), \xi - y]_+ \geq \langle f, \xi - y \rangle \quad \forall \xi \in K \tag{2}$$

is nonempty and weakly compact in  $X$ . Moreover, there exists the element  $w \in \overline{\text{co}}A(y)$  such that  $\langle w, \xi - y \rangle \geq \langle f, \xi - y \rangle \quad \forall \xi \in K$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the filter  $\mathbb{F}$  of the finite-dimensional subspaces  $F$  of  $X$ . We construct the auxiliary operator  $L_\varepsilon(\lambda, y) = \overline{\text{co}}\{(1-\lambda)P_\varepsilon(y) + \lambda(I_F^*f - I_F^*A(I_Fy))\}$ , where  $I_F : X \rightarrow F$  is the inclusion map,  $K_F = K \cap F$ ,  $P_\varepsilon(y) = [K_F \cap (-N_{K_F}(y))] \setminus B_\varepsilon(y) - y$ ,  $N_{K_F}(y)$  is the normal cone,  $B_\varepsilon(y) = \{\xi \in K_F : \|\xi - y\|_F < \varepsilon\}$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  such that  $K_F \setminus B_\varepsilon(y) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $y$  from  $\partial K_F$ . We can show that  $L_\varepsilon(\lambda, \cdot)$  is upper semi-continuous on  $F$ . By construction for each  $y \in \partial K_F$  we have that  $L_\varepsilon(0, y) \cap T_{K_F}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $T_{K_F}$  is the tangential cone. If  $\exists y \in \partial K_F$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $0 \in L_\varepsilon(\lambda, y)$  then this  $y \in \partial K_F$  is a solution of VIMO on  $F$ . Else by Lere-Schauder theorem the inclusion  $0 \in L_\varepsilon(0, y)$  has a solution on  $\text{int}K_F$ . Thus, we have the bounded sequence  $\{y_F\} \subset K$ . Using the generalized pseudo-monotonicity and the sequentially weakly locally boundedness of the operator  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  we can find some limit element  $y$  which is a solution of (2).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 2 be satisfied without  $K$  be a bounded set. If in this case operator  $A$  is coercive, then the statement of Theorem 2 holds.*

*Proof.* On each bounded set  $K_R = K \cap B_R$  the solution  $y_R$  exists, by the coercivity of the operator  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  under some  $R$   $y_R$  is a solution of (2).  $\square$

From Theorem 3 we can obtain following statement:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a radially semi-continuous coercive operator with semi-bounded variation. Then  $\forall f \in X^*$  the solution set of the inclusion  $\overline{\text{co}}A(y) \ni f$*

*is nonempty and weakly compact in  $X$ .*

Now we consider the based inequality (1) and the corresponding inclusion

$$\overline{\text{co}}A(y) + \partial\varphi(y) \ni f, \quad (3)$$

where  $\partial\varphi(y)$  is the subdifferential of the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  at the point  $y \in X$ .

**Proposition.** *Each solution of (3) satisfies VIMO (1). If  $y$  is a solution of (1) and belong to  $\text{int}K \cap \text{dom } \partial\varphi$ , then  $y$  is a solution of (3).*

This simple statement allows to study VIMO (1) using the inclusion (3).

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a radially semi-continuous operator with semi-bounded variation,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a proper convex lower semi-continuous function and the following coercivity condition satisfies:*

$\exists y_0 \in \text{dom } \varphi \cap K$  such that

$$\|y\|_X^{-1}([A(u, y), y - y_0]_- - \varphi(y)) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|y\|_X \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then  $\forall f \in X^*$  the solution set of (1) is nonempty and weakly compact in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let us construct the auxiliary objects:

$$\tilde{X} = X \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{y} = (y, \mu) \in \tilde{X}, \quad \tilde{A}(\tilde{y}) = (A(y), 0) \quad \forall \tilde{y} \in \tilde{X},$$

$$\tilde{K} = \{(y, \mu) \in (K \cap \text{dom } \varphi) \times \mathbb{R} \mid \mu \geq \varphi(y)\}, \quad \tilde{f} = (f, -1),$$

We can prove that these objects satisfy all conditions of Theorem 2. Thus, the solution  $\tilde{y}$  exists and its first coordinate is a solution of (1).  $\square$

**Example.** Let us consider the free boundary problem on Sobolev space  $W_p^2(\Omega)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, Dy) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f \text{ on } \Omega, \\ & y \geq 0, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \geq 0, \quad y \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a sufficiently smooth simple connected domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the bound of  $\Omega$ , the normal vector  $\nu$  is defined at each  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, Dy) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j} \cos(x, \nu_i)$ ,

$Dy = (\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n})$ . This problem can have not a classical solution, but we can find a weak solution on  $W_p^2(\Omega)$ . Let us assume that  $a_{ij}(x, y, \xi)$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) for each  $y, \xi$  the functions  $a_{ij}$  are continuous with respect to  $x$ ,
- (2)  $\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$  the functions  $a_{ij}$  are bounded with respect to  $\xi$  and  $y$ , and the following estimation holds:  $|a_{ij}(x, y, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)| \leq g(x) + k_0|y|^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i |\xi_i|^{p-2}$ , where  $k_i > 0$  ( $i = \overline{1, n}$ ), if  $p = 2$  then  $g \in C(\Omega)$ , and if  $p > 2$  then  $g \in L_{q'}(\Omega)$ ,  $q' = p/(p-2)$ ,
- (3)  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \gamma(R) R$ , where  $R = |y| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|$  and  $\gamma(R) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Then the free boundary problem conforms to the following inequality:

$$[A(y), \xi - y]_+ = a_1(y, \xi - y) + [A_2(y), \xi - y]_+ \geq \langle f, \xi - y \rangle \quad \forall \xi \in K. \quad (4)$$

where  $a_1(y, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x, y, Dy) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_i} dx$ ,  $A_2(y) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_{ij}(x, y, Dy)) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_j}$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i}$  is the subdifferential of  $a_{ij}$ ,  $K = \{y \in W_p^2(\Omega) : y|_{\Gamma} \geq 0\}$  is a convex weakly closed set. We can prove that  $A$  is a radially semi-continuous coercive operator with semi-bounded variation. Thus, the inequality (4) has a solution.

#### REFERENCES

1. V.I.Ivanenko and V.S.Melnik, *Variational Methods in Control Problems for Systems with Distributed Parameters*, Kiyv, "Naukova Dumka", 1988. (Russian)
2. F.E.Browder and P.Hess, *Nonlinear Mappings of Monotone Type in Banach Spaces*, J. of Funct. Anal. **11** (1972), 251-294.
3. C.-L.Yen, *A minimax Inequality and Its Applications to Variational Inequalities*, Pacific J. of Math. **97:2** (1981), 477-481.
4. M.-H.Shih and K.-K.Tan, *A Further Generalization of Ky Fan's Minimax Inequality and Its Applications*, Studia Math. **78** (1984), 279-287.
5. V.S.Melnik, *Nonlinear Analysis and Control Problems for Systems with Distributed Parameters*, Kiyv, "Naukova Dumka", 1995. (Russian)
6. D.G.deFigueiredo, *An Existence Theorem for Pseudo-Monotone Operator Equations in Banach Spaces*, J.Math.Anal.and Appl. **34** (1971), 151-156.
7. V. S. Melnik and O. V. Solonoukha, *On Variational Inequalities with Multivalued Operators*, Dokl. Akad. of Science of Ukraine (1996). (in print, Russian)
8. R.T Rockafellar, *Local Boundedness of Nonlinear, Monotone Operator*, Michigan Math.J. **16** (1969), 397-407.

O. V. SOLONOUKHA, POSTGRADUATE STUDENT, NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF UKRAINE "KIEV POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE", CHAIR OF MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF ECONOMICAL SYSTEMS, PR. POBEDY, 37, KIEV, UKRAINE.